27 ///

28 ///

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT 1 2 MAY 27 2010 RECEIVED 3 JOHN A. PLARKE, CLERK MAY 202010 4 REC'D **DEPT. 45** 5 MAY 182010 6 FILING WINDOW 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT 9 10 **CASE NO. BC388465** MELINDA KIMSEY, 11 **APRIL 4, 2008** Plaintiff, FILING DATE: JUDGE: HON, MEL RED RECANA 12 45 DEPARTMENT: vs. 13 BELLFLOWER UNIFIED SCHOOL PROPOSED JUDGMENT DISTRICT, a school district; LARRY 14 COSTA, an individual; and DOES 1 through 250, inclusive; 15 Defendants. 16 September 1, 2009 **MSC** Date September 1, 2009 FSC Date 17 Vacated Trial Date 18 19 /// 20 1/// 21 /// /// 24 /// 25 1/// 26 ///

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

On September 1, 2009, Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey and Defendants Bellflower Unified School District and Larry Costa appeared regularly for a mandatory settlement conference in Department 45 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, located at 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, California, the Honorable Mel Red Recana, Judge presiding. Trial was scheduled to commence in Department 45 on September 8, 2009.

Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey (also referred to herein as "Plaintiff") was represented by David Klehm and Ronald L. Zambrano of the Law Offices of Carlin & Buchsbaum. Defendant Bellflower Unified School District (also referred herein as "BUSD") and Defendant Larry Costa (also referred to herein as "Costa") were represented by Robert M. Newell, Jr. and Robert J. Roché of Newell, Campbell & Roché LLP. Defendant BUSD, who appeared through its representative Marcy Delgado, was present on September 1 and 2, 2009. Defendant Larry Costa appeared on September 1, 2009 only.

At the conclusion of the first day of the settlement conference on September 1, 2009, and in open court, Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey affirmed the oral motion made by her counsel David Klehm to consent to the entry of judgment against Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey and in favor of Defendant Larry Costa pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 998. Plaintiff also agreed that within 10 days (i.e. by September 11, 2009,) she would (1) provide Defendant Larry Costa with a check for \$100.00, which shall be made payable to "Larry Costa;" and, (2) provide Larry Costa with a letter signed by Plaintiff stating:

"It is with great sincerity that I regret filing my lawsuit against Larry Costa. In hindsight, I now realize that my lawsuit against Larry Costa should not have been filed, and there is no evidentiary basis to support my allegations against him."

Plaintiff and Costa agreed to mutual general releases of any and all claims they each may have, including a waiver of Code of Civil Procedure section 1542, which in turn includes any claim that Costa has or may have had against Plaintiff for malicious prosecution. The court approved these terms and retained jurisdiction pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

At the conclusion of the second day of the settlement conference on September 2, 2009, and in open court, Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey through her counsel David Klehm, made an oral motion to

dismiss with prejudice her entire complaint against Defendant BUSD which consisted of two causes of action. Defendant BUSD was the prevailing party and was permitted to submit its Memorandum of Costs per statute and Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey was allowed to file her motion to tax costs per statute. On December 29, 2009, BUSD filed its Amended Memorandum of Costs. On January 14, 2010, Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey filed her Motion to Tax Costs. On March 22, 2010, the Court awarded BUSD costs in the amount of \$19,961.72;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered against Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey by which she takes nothing and in favor of Defendant Larry Costa.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that judgment of dismissal be entered against Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey and in favor of Defendant Bellflower Unified School District.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Bellflower Unified School District be, and is hereby declared, the prevailing party and entitled to its costs in the amount of \$19,961.72;

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that with respect to the resolution of Plaintiff
Melinda Kimsey's claims against her and in favor of Defendant Larry Costa and the agreement of the
Parties, Kimsey and Costa, Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey to the extent not already provided shall
forthwith:

- (1) provide Larry Costa with a check for \$100.00, made payable to "Larry Costa;" and,
- (2) provide Larry Costa with a dated letter signed by Melinda Kimsey and stating only:

 "It is with great sincerity that I regret filing my lawsuit against Larry Costa. In
 hindsight, I now realize that my lawsuit against Mr. Costa should not have been filed,
 and there is no evidentiary basis to support my allegations against him."

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 pending the full and satisfactory performance of the terms of this judgment.

Dated: May <u>27</u>, 2010

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 I, Laura A. Morales, am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am 2 over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 520 South Grand Avenue, Suite 390, Los Angeles, California 90071-2600. 3 On May 18, 2010, I served the foregoing document described as 4 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT 5 on the interested party(ies) in this action by placing ___ the original X_ a true copy thereof, enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows: Gary R. Carlin, Esq. David Klehm, Esq. Ronald L. Zambrano, Esq. Law Offices of Carlin & Buchsbaum, LLP 555 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 818 10 Long Beach, CA 90802 Tel: (562) 432-8933 .11 Fax: (562) 435-1656 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff Melinda Kimsey BY MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing 13 correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for 16 mailing in affidavit. 17 BY MAIL: I deposited such envelope(s) in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope(s) were mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. 18 BY OVERNIGHT COURIER: I caused such envelope(s) to be served by overnight 19 courier, next day service to the offices of the addressee(s). 20 VIA FACSIMILE: I caused the above-referenced document to be served by facsimile 21 transmission to the addresses at the fax numbers listed above. 22 (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. [X]23 24 25 Executed on May 19, 2010, Los Angeles, California. 26 27 \server1\ProLaw\documents\Bellflower Unified School District\100095.019\Pleading WP11\20386.wpd

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

28